

## **Framework for the CAIDI awards XIX Forum for Linguistic Sharing**

### **Article 1 - Purpose**

1. The CAIDI awards - Best Linguistic Sharing / Best Poster Presentation aim to recognize and reward excellence in communication and poster presentations during the XIX Forum for Linguistic Sharing ("XIX FPL").

### **Article 2 - Eligibility**

1. There shall only be accepted for awards, works:
  - a. Selected for the XIX FPL and that respect the established submission rules, which can be consulted in the call for papers available on the Young Researchers of CLUNL ("NJICLUNL") website: [jiclunl.fcsh.unl.pt](http://jiclunl.fcsh.unl.pt);
  - b. Whose authors have registered for the XIX FPL.
2. All works registered in the conference are eligible for the awards, respectively:
  - a. All oral communication works are eligible for the Best Linguistic Sharing Award;
  - b. All poster works are eligible for the Best Poster Presentation Award;
  - c. Current members of NJICLUNL are not eligible for any of these awards.
3. All participants have the right to withdraw their work from competing for any of these awards, and, for this purpose, must inform the Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL;
  - a. In the case of co-authorship, the withdrawal of a single co-author is sufficient for the prize not to be awarded to that work;
  - b. All decisions related to the refusal to participate in any of the awards are the sole responsibility of the authors of the works. The Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL is not responsible for such decisions.
4. All authors of oral communication works must accept the recording (video and audio) of their presentations for subsequent analysis by the panel of jury;
  - a. Authors who decide not to hand over their image rights are automatically excluded from competing for the award.

### **Article 3 - Jury composition**

1. The selection of member of the juries is the exclusive responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the XIX by invitation.
2. Member of the juries must be PhDs and Professors at higher education institutions in the area of Language Sciences / Linguistics and have no conflict of interest in the works in the competition.

### **Article 4 - Criteria for ranking candidates for the awards**

1. Candidates for the Best Linguistic Sharing and Best Poster Presentation Awards will be the three oral communication works and the three poster works with the highest scores that comply with the rules set forth in Article 2, such scoring to be assigned by the reviewers from the Scientific Committee of the XIX FPL in the round of abstract assessment, based on specific criteria and an overall evaluation:

- a. The specific criteria to be considered by the reviewers from the Scientific Committee are evaluated on a scale of 0 (if the criterion is not present at all) to 10 (if the abstract has successfully achieved the objective) for each of the criteria:
    - i. Theoretical framework
    - ii. Methodology
    - iii. Relevance and originality
    - iv. Clarity of the presentation
    - v. Quality of the argumentation
    - vi. Appropriateness of the bibliographic references
  - b. The overall evaluation is a qualitative score: positive, borderline, negative.
2. The final score is the average of the individual classifications of the specific criteria of each reviewer of the Scientific Committee (obtained as stipulated in Point 1 a. of this Article), the product of which will be multiplied by a value to be determined according to the overall evaluations:
- a. 3 Positive reviews: 1.00 (one unit);
  - b. 2 Positive reviews and 1 Borderline review: 0.85 (eighty-five tenths);
  - c. 1 Positive review and 2 Borderline reviews: 0.70 (seventy tenths);
  - d. 2 Positive reviews and 1 Negative review: 0.70 (seventy tenths);
  - e. 1 Positive review, 1 Borderline review and 1 Negative: 0.55 (fifty-five tenths);
  - f. 1 Positive review and 2 Negative reviews: 0.40 (forty tenths);
  - g. Overall evaluation situations not described in the previous paragraphs are subject to exclusion (e.g. no Positive reviews).
3. The final score (obtained as stipulated in Points 1 and 2 of this Article) respects the following formula:

$$Final\ Score = \left( \frac{\sum (average\ of\ specific\ criteria\ for\ N_i)}{N} \right) \times X$$

$N_i = reviewer$

$X = multiplicative\ factor\ of\ global\ assessments\ (Point\ 2\ of\ Article\ 4)$

## **Article 5 - Criteria for the presentation evaluation**

1. Each member of the juries will evaluate respectively the three oral communication works nominated for the Best Linguistic Sharing Award and the three poster works nominated for the Best Poster Presentation Award that comply with the rules set forth in Article 2, based on specific criteria and an overall evaluation:
  - a. The specific criteria to be considered by the juries are evaluated on a scale from 0 (if the criterion is not present at all) to 10 (if the presenter has successfully achieved the goal) for each of the criteria:
    - i. Fulfillment of the proposal: The work presented corresponds to the submitted abstract.
    - ii. Content: The speech contains relevant information.
    - iii. Accuracy: Uses the appropriate scientific terminology proficiently.
    - iv. Clarity: Expresses themselves fluently, with rhythm, clear and audible pronunciation.
    - v. Posture: Maintains a relaxed and confident posture; maintains eye contact, capturing the attention of the audience.
    - vi. Design of the slides/poster: Visual organization of the information.

- b. The overall evaluation is a qualitative grade: positive, borderline, negative.
  - c. In the case of co-authorship, the presentation should be evaluated as a whole, without bias towards any specific presenter.
2. The quantitative evaluation, specific criteria, of each member of the juries will subsequently be multiplied by a value representative of the qualitative assessment, overall evaluation, of that same member of the juries:
  1. Positive: 1.00 (one unit);
  2. Bordeline: 0.85 (eighty-five tenths);
  3. Negative: 0.70 (seventy tenths).
3. The final score is the sum of the individual grades of each member of the juries (obtained according to Points 1 and 2 of this Article), respecting the following formula:

$$Final\ Score = \sum ((average\ of\ specific\ criteria\ for\ N_i) \times (overall\ evaluation\ for\ N_i))$$

$N_i = jury\ member$

4. In both work modalities, the juries will adhere strictly to the presentation, disregarding the moments of debate and discussion that follow it.
5. All oral communications works that do not comply with the presentation guidelines will be excluded from the award, including, but not limited to:
  - a. Excessive failure to comply with the time allocated for presentation;
  - b. Boycott the proper functioning of XIX FPL.
6. All poster works that do not comply with the presentation guidelines will be excluded from the award, including, but not limited to:
  - a. Failure to comply with the poster dimensions described in the call for works;
  - b. Excessive failure to comply with the time allocated for presentation;
  - c. Boycott the proper functioning of XIX FPL.
7. In the event of a tie between authors in the evaluation of presentations, the presentation with the highest score in the abstract evaluation will be considered the winner (in accordance with Article 4).

## **Article 6 - Awards**

1. The author(s) of the oral communication work with the highest final score will receive a monetary prize in the amount of €150.00 (one hundred and fifty euros) and the guarantee of publication of their communication in the special issue of the Journal of Centro de Linguística da Universidade NOVA de Lisboa;
  - a. The publication in the Journal will require peer review.
2. The author(s) of the poster work with the highest final score will receive a monetary prize in the amount of €100.00 (one hundred euros).
3. Honorable mentions may be awarded, which are optional and are the sole responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL, without prejudice to the provisions of Article 2.
4. One of the authors of the winning work must send, via email (jjclunl@fcsh.unl.pt), the details of a bank account, including BIC SWIFT, to deposit the prize amount, respecting the deadlines for this purpose set out in Article 7;
  - a. The Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL, or the entity issuing the payment (including, but not limited to Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas da Universidade NOVA de Lisboa), is not responsible for the possible decrease in

- the prize value resulting from the payment of fees associated with bank transfers;
- b. No other method of payment of the award will be permitted;
  - c. Non-compliance with the conditions established in the previous points will annul the awarding of the prize, without prejudice to its reassignment to the next work(s) in the serial list of final scores.
5. In instances of co-authorship, the monetary prize will be transferred to only one bank account, in accordance with Point 4 of the present Article, with the apportion of the value being the exclusive responsibility of the co-authors;
- a. Under no circumstances will the monetary prize be parted and sent to separate accounts by the Organizing Committee of the XIX PFL.

#### **Article 7 - Dates**

1. The juries' deliberations must be delivered to the Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL:
  - a. In the case of the jury for the Best Linguistic Sharing Award, by the 30<sup>th</sup> of April, 2025;
  - b. In the case of the jury for the Best Poster Presentation Award, on the in-person day of the conference, the 10<sup>th</sup> of April, 2025.
2. During the XIX FPL, the following will be announced:
  - a. The three abstracts nominated for the Best Linguistic Sharing Award, at the closing session of the XIX FPL, on the 11th of April, 2025;
  - b. The winner of the Best Poster Presentation Award, at the end of the in-person day of the XIX FPL, the 10th of April, 2025.
3. The winner of the Best Linguistic Sharing Award will be publicly announced by the Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL within a maximum of 10 working days after the deadline set for the reception of the jury's deliberations as per item a) of Point 1 of the present Article.
4. The author(s) of the awarded works must provide their banking information to the Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL as stipulated in Point 4 of Article 6 within a maximum of 15 working days after being contacted for this purpose.
  - a. Failure to comply with the condition established in this point will cancel the award without prejudice to its reassignment to the next work(s) in the serial list of final scores.
5. The entity issuing the payment will transfer the amounts indicated in Points 1 and 2 of Article 6 to their respective authors (according to Point 4 of Article 6) in accordance with its internal procedures.

#### **Article 8 - Final dispositions**

1. The participation in the competition presupposes the knowledge and full acceptance of this framework.
2. It is the responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the XIX FPL to decide on any omission in this framework.
3. The juries' evaluations are final and irrevocable, with no possibility of contesting or appealing the announced results.
4. The complete ordered lists will not be made public.

5. In the case of lapse or ambiguity between the translated version and the original of the framework, the Portuguese version of the document must be considered.